arXiv:1510.08648v2 [math.SG] 1 Nov 2015

Non-hyperbolic closed characteristics on non-degenerate star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$

Huagui Duan[1],* Hui Liu[2],† Yiming Long[3],‡ Wei Wang[4] §

[1] School of Mathematical Sciences and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071

[2] School of Mathematical Sciences, University of Science and Technology of China, Hefei 230026

[3] Chern Institute of Mathematics and LPMC, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071

[4] School of Mathematical Sciences and LMAM, Peking University, Beijing 100871

The People's Republic of China

November 3, 2015

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that for every index perfect non-degenerate compact star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, there exist at least n non-hyperbolic closed characteristics with even Maslov-type indices on Σ when n is even. When n is odd, there exist at least n closed characteristics with odd Maslov-type indices on Σ and at least $(n-1)$ of them are non-hyperbolic. Here we call a compact star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ index perfect *if it carries only finitely many geometrically distinct prime closed characteristics, and every prime closed characteristic (τ, y) on Σ possesses positive mean index and whose Maslov-type index $i(y,m)$ of its m-th iterate satisfies $i(y,m) \neq -1$ when n is even, and $i(y,m) \notin \{-2,-1,0\}$ when n is odd for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Key words: Closed characteristic, star-shaped hypersurface, non-hyperbolic, Maslov-type index.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58E05, 37J45, 34C25.

*Partially supported by NSFC (Nos. 11131004, 11471169), LPMC of MOE of China and Nankai University. E-mail: duanhg@nankai.edu.cn.

†Partially supported by NSFC (No. 11401555), China Postdoctoral Science Foundation No.2014T70589, CUSF (No. WK3470000001). E-mail: huiliu@ustc.edu.cn.

‡Partially supported by NSFC (No. 11131004), MCME and LPMC of MOE of China, Nankai University and BCMIIS of Capital Normal University. E-mail: longym@nankai.edu.cn.

§Partially supported by NSFC (Nos. 11222105, 11431001). E-mail: alexanderweiwang@gmail.com.

1 Introduction and main results

Let Σ be a C^3 compact hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ strictly star-shaped with respect to the origin, i.e., the tangent hyperplane at any $x \in \Sigma$ does not intersect the origin. We denote the set of all such hypersurfaces by $\mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$, and denote by $\mathcal{H}_{con}(2n)$ the subset of $\mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ which consists of all strictly convex hypersurfaces. We consider closed characteristics (τ, y) on Σ, which are solutions of the following problem

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y} = JN_\Sigma(y), \\ y(\tau) = y(0), \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, I_n is the identity matrix in $\mathbf{R}^n$, $\tau > 0$, $N_\Sigma(y)$ is the outward normal vector of Σ at y normalized by the condition $N_\Sigma(y) \cdot y = 1$. Here $a \cdot b$ denotes the standard inner product of $a, b \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$. A closed characteristic (τ, y) is *prime*, if τ is the minimal period of y. Two closed characteristics (τ, y) and (σ, z) are *geometrically distinct*, if $y(\mathbf{R}) \neq z(\mathbf{R})$. We denote by $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$ the set of geometrically distinct closed characteristics (τ, y) on $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$. A closed characteristic (τ, y) is *non-degenerate* if 1 is a Floquet multiplier of y of precisely algebraic multiplicity 2; *hyperbolic* if 1 is a double Floquet multiplier of it and all the other Floquet multipliers are not on $\mathbf{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$, i.e., the unit circle in the complex plane; *elliptic* if all the Floquet multipliers of y are on $\mathbf{U}$. We call a $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}(2n)$ *non-degenerate* if all the closed characteristics on Σ together with all of their iterations are non-degenerate.

The study on closed characteristics in the global sense started in 1978, when the existence of at least one closed characteristic was first established on any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ by P. Rabinowitz in [Rab] and on any $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{con}(2n)$ by A. Weinstein in [Wei] independently, since then the existence of multiple closed characteristics on $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{con}(2n)$ has been deeply studied by many mathematicians, for example, studies in [EkL], [EkH], [HWZ1], [Szu], [LoZ], [Wan2], [Wan3], [WHL] as well as [Lon4] and references therein.

For the star-shaped hypersurfaces, We are only aware of few papers about the multiplicity of closed characteristics. In [Gir] of 1984 and [BLMR] of 1985, $^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \geq n$ for $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ was proved under some pinching conditions. In [Vit2] of 1989, C. Viterbo proved a generic existence result for infinitely many closed characteristics on star-shaped hypersurfaces. In [HuL] of 2002, X. Hu and Y. Long proved that $^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \geq 2$ for non-degenerate $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$. In [HWZ2] of 2003, H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder proved that $^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) = 2$ or ∞ holds for every non-degenerate $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(4)$ provided that all stable and unstable manifolds of the hyperbolic closed characteristics on Σ intersect transversally. Recently $^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) \geq 2$ was first proved for every $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(4)$ by D. Cristofaro-Gardiner and M. Hutchings in [CGH] without any pinching or non-degeneracy conditions. Different proofs of this result can also be found in [GHHM], [LLo1] and [GiG].

On the stability problem, we refer the readers to [Eke], [DDE], [HuO], [Lon1] [Lon3], [LoZ], [WHL], [Wan1] for convex hypersurfaces. For the star-shaped case, there are also few results. In

[LiL2] of 1999, C. Liu and Y. Long proved that for $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ either there are infinitely many closed characteristics, or there exists at least one non-hyperbolic closed characteristic, provided every closed characteristic possesses its Maslov-type mean index greater than 2 when n is odd, and greater than 1 when n is even. Recently in [LLo2], H. Liu and Y. Long proved that $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(4)$ and ${}^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma) = 2$ imply that both of the closed characteristics must be elliptic provided that Σ is symmetric with respect to the origin.

Based on the common index jump theorem and other results proved by Long and Zhu in [LoZ] of 2002, recently an enhanced common index jump theorem was established by Duan, Long and Wang in [DLW] and some new results about the multiplicity and stability of closed geodesics on bumpy simply connected Finsler manifolds were proved as its applications, which improved some earlier results largely. In this paper, we will use this enhanced common index jump theorem to study the multiplicity and stability of closed characteristics on non-degenerate star-shaped hypersurfaces and obtain the following results.

Definition 1.1. *We call a compact star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$* index perfect *if it carries only finitely many geometrically distinct prime closed characteristics, and every prime closed characteristic (τ, y) on Σ possesses positive mean index and whose Maslov-type index $i(y,m)$ of its m-th iterate satisfies $i(y,m) \neq -1$ when n is even and $i(y,m) \notin \{-2,-1,0\}$ when n is odd for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Note that our index perfect condition is much more weaker than the dynamically convex condition first introduced in [HWZ1] (cf. also [HWZ2]), which requires that every closed characteristic on a compact star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ possesses Conley-Zehnder index at least $n+1$. Our theorem below shows that "index perfect" implies that the number of geometrically distinct closed characteristics can get to the best possible lower bound at least in the non-degenerate case.

Theorem 1.2. *On every index perfect non-degenerate compact star-shaped hypersurface $\Sigma \subset \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, there exist at least n non-hyperbolic closed characteristics with even Maslov-type indices on Σ when n is even. When n is odd, there exist at least n closed characteristics with odd Maslov-type indices on Σ and at least $(n-1)$ of them are non-hyperbolic.*

Remark 1.3. Very recently, J. Gutt and J. Kang in [GuK] proved some results on closed characteristics on a non-degenerate compact star-shaped hypersurface Σ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. In Theorem 1.1 of [GuK], they proved the existence of at least n closed characteristics on such a Σ whose iterates' Conley-Zehnder indices possess the same parity, provided Σ is dynamically convex. Note that their index definition is slightly different from ours. Also recently, M. Abreu and L. Macarini in [AbM] gave a sharp lower bound for the number of geometrically distinct contractible periodic orbits of dynamically convex Reeb flows on prequantizations of symplectic manifolds that are not aspherical, which implies Theorem 1.1 of [GuK] (cf. Corollary 2.9 of [AbM]). Note that by [LiL1] and Theorem 1.1 of [LiL3] (cf. Theorem 10.1.2 of [Lon4]), and the definition of the index in Section 2 of [GuK],

their dynamically convexity implies the mean index of such a closed characteristic is positive. In Theorem 1.3 of [GuK] a similar result was proved when every closed characteristic on Σ possesses Conley-Zehnder index at least $n-1$. But in the proof they used the common index jump theorem of Y. Long and C. Zhu in [LoZ] and thus they have in fact used the condition that every closed characteristic possesses positive mean index.

In [LLW2], H. Liu, Y. Long and W. Wang proved that either there are infinitely many closed characteristics or there exist at least $2[n/2]$ non-hyperbolic closed characteristics on $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{con}(2n)$ which is symmetric with respect to the origin, due to the example of weakly non-resonant ellipsoids, this estimate is sharp when n is even.

Note that comparing with the above three papers, besides non-degenerate condition our above Theorem 1.2 require only that all iterates of closed characteristics do not possess Maslov-type index -1 when n is even, and do not possess Maslov-type indices $-2, -1$ or 0 when n is odd. We note that the index conditions in our Theorem 1.2 are much more weaker than the convex or dynamically convex case.

In this paper, let $\mathbf{N}$, $\mathbf{N}_0$, $\mathbf{Z}$, $\mathbf{Q}$, $\mathbf{R}$, $\mathbf{C}$ and $\mathbf{R}^+$ denote the sets of natural integers, non-negative integers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers, complex numbers and positive real numbers respectively. We define the function $[a] = \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid k \le a\}$, $\{a\} = a - [a]$, and $E(a) = \min\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid k \ge a\}$. Denote by $a \cdot b$ and $|a|$ the standard inner product and norm in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. Denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and $\| \cdot \|$ the standard L^2 inner product and L^2 norm. For an S^1-space X, we denote by X_{S^1} the homotopy quotient of X by S^1, i.e., $X_{S^1} = S^\infty \times_{S^1} X$, where S^∞ is the unit sphere in an infinite dimensional *complex* Hilbert space. In this paper we use $\mathbf{Q}$ coefficients for all homological and cohomological modules. By $t \to a^+$, we mean $t > a$ and $t \to a$.

2 Mean index identities for closed characteristics on compact star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$

In this section, we briefly review the mean index identities for closed characteristics on $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ developed in [LLW1] which will be needed in Section 4. All the details of proofs can be found in [LLW1]. Now we fix a $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ and assume the following condition on $\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$:

(F) **There exist only finitely many geometrically distinct prime closed characteristics $\{(\tau_j, y_j)\}_{1 \le j \le k}$ on Σ.**

Let $\hat{\tau} = \inf_{1 \le j \le k} \tau_j$ and T be a fixed positive constant. Then by Section 2 of [LLW1], for any $a > \frac{\hat{\tau}}{T}$, we can construct a function $\varphi_a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R}^+)$ which has 0 as its unique critical point in $[0, +\infty)$. Moreover, $\frac{\varphi'(t)}{t}$ is strictly decreasing for $t > 0$ together with $\varphi(0) = 0 = \varphi'(0)$ and $\varphi''(0) = 1 = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{\varphi'(t)}{t}$. More precisely, we define φ_a and the Hamiltonian function $\widetilde{H}_a(x) = a\varphi_a(j(x))$ via Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.4 in [LLW1]. The precise dependence of φ_a on a is explained in Remark 2.3 of [LLW1].

For technical reasons we want to further modify the Hamiltonian, we define the new Hamiltonian function H_a via Proposition 2.5 of [LLW1] and consider the fixed period problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = JH_a'(x(t)), \quad x(0) = x(T). \tag{2.1}$$

Then $H_a \in C^3(\mathbf{R}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}, \mathbf{R}) \cap C^1(\mathbf{R}^{2n}, \mathbf{R})$. Solutions of (2.1) are $x \equiv 0$ and $x = \rho y(\tau t/T)$ with $\frac{\varphi_a'(\rho)}{\rho} = \frac{\tau}{aT}$, where (τ, y) is a solution of (1.1). In particular, non-zero solutions of (2.1) are in one to one correspondence with solutions of (1.1) with period $\tau < aT$.

For any $a > \frac{\hat{\tau}}{T}$, we can choose some large constant $K = K(a)$ such that

$$H_{a,K}(x) = H_a(x) + \frac{1}{2}K|x|^2 \tag{2.2}$$

is a strictly convex function, that is,

$$(\nabla H_{a,K}(x) - \nabla H_{a,K}(y), x - y) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}|x - y|^2, \tag{2.3}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$, and some positive ϵ. Let $H_{a,K}^*$ be the Fenchel dual of $H_{a,K}$ defined by

$$H_{a,K}^*(y) = \sup\{x \cdot y - H_{a,K}(x) \mid x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}\}.$$

The dual action functional on $X = W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}/T\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ is defined by

$$F_{a,K}(x) = \int_0^T \left[\frac{1}{2}(J\dot{x} - Kx, x) + H_{a,K}^*(-J\dot{x} + Kx)\right] dt. \tag{2.4}$$

Then $F_{a,K} \in C^{1,1}(X, \mathbf{R})$ and for $KT \notin 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$, $F_{a,K}$ satisfies the Palais-Smale condition and x is a critical point of $F_{a,K}$ if and only if it is a solution of (2.1). Moreover, $F_{a,K}(x_a) < 0$ and it is independent of K for every critical point $x_a \neq 0$ of $F_{a,K}$.

When $KT \notin 2\pi\mathbf{Z}$, the map $x \mapsto -J\dot{x} + Kx$ is a Hilbert space isomorphism between $X = W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{R}^{2n})$ and $E = L^2(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{R}^{2n})$. We denote its inverse by M_K and the functional

$$\Psi_{a,K}(u) = \int_0^T \left[-\frac{1}{2}(M_K u, u) + H_{a,K}^*(u)\right] dt, \qquad \forall\, u \in E. \tag{2.5}$$

Then $x \in X$ is a critical point of $F_{a,K}$ if and only if $u = -J\dot{x} + Kx$ is a critical point of $\Psi_{a,K}$.

Suppose u is a nonzero critical point of $\Psi_{a,K}$. Then the formal Hessian of $\Psi_{a,K}$ at u is defined by

$$Q_{a,K}(v) = \int_0^T (-M_K v \cdot v + H_{a,K}^{*\prime\prime}(u)v \cdot v)dt, \tag{2.6}$$

which defines an orthogonal splitting $E = E_- \oplus E_0 \oplus E_+$ of E into negative, zero and positive subspaces. The index and nullity of u are defined by $i_K(u) = \dim E_-$ and $\nu_K(u) = \dim E_0$ respectively. Similarly, we define the index and nullity of $x = M_K u$ for $F_{a,K}$, we denote them by $i_K(x)$ and $\nu_K(x)$. Then we have

$$i_K(u) = i_K(x), \quad \nu_K(u) = \nu_K(x), \tag{2.7}$$

which follow from the definitions (2.4) and (2.5). The following important formula was proved in Lemma 6.4 of [Vit2]:

$$i_K(x) = 2n([KT/2\pi] + 1) + i^v(x) \equiv d(K) + i^v(x), \tag{2.8}$$

where the Viterbo index $i^v(x)$ does not depend on K, but only on H_a.

By the proof of Proposition 2 of [Vit1], we have that $v \in E$ belongs to the null space of $Q_{a,K}$ if and only if $z = M_K v$ is a solution of the linearized system

$$\dot{z}(t) = JH_a''(x(t))z(t). \tag{2.9}$$

Thus the nullity in (2.7) is independent of K, which we denote by $\nu^v(x) \equiv \nu_K(u) = \nu_K(x)$.

By Proposition 2.11 of [LLW1], the index $i^v(x)$ and nullity $\nu^v(x)$ coincide with those defined for the Hamiltonian $H(x) = j(x)^\alpha$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}^{2n}$ and some $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Especially $1 \le \nu^v(x) \le 2n - 1$ always holds.

For every closed characteristic (τ, y) on Σ, let $aT > \tau$ and choose φ_a as above. Determine ρ uniquely by $\frac{\varphi_a'(\rho)}{\rho} = \frac{\tau}{aT}$. Let $x = \rho y(\frac{\tau t}{T})$. Then we define the index $i(\tau, y)$ and nullity $\nu(\tau, y)$ of (τ, y) by

$$i(\tau, y) = i^v(x), \qquad \nu(\tau, y) = \nu^v(x).$$

Then the mean index of (τ, y) is defined by

$$\hat{i}(\tau, y) = \lim_{m\to\infty} \frac{i(m\tau, y)}{m}.$$

Note that by Proposition 2.11 of [LLW1], the index and nullity are well defined and are independent of the choice of a. For a closed characteristic (τ, y) on Σ, we simply denote by $y^m \equiv (m\tau, y)$ the m-th iteration of y for $m \in \mathbf{N}$.

We have a natural S^1-action on X or E defined by

$$\theta \cdot u(t) = u(\theta + t), \quad \forall\, \theta \in S^1,\, t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Clearly both of $F_{a,K}$ and $\Psi_{a,K}$ are S^1-invariant. For any $\kappa \in \mathbf{R}$, we denote by

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{a,K}^\kappa &= \{u \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}); \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid \Psi_{a,K}(u) \le \kappa\} \\ X_{a,K}^\kappa &= \{x \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid F_{a,K}(x) \le \kappa\}. \end{aligned}$$

For a critical point u of $\Psi_{a,K}$ and the corresponding $x = M_K u$ of $F_{a,K}$, let

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{a,K}(u) &= \Lambda_{a,K}^{\Psi_{a,K}(u)} = \{w \in L^2(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid \Psi_{a,K}(w) \le \Psi_{a,K}(u)\}, \\ X_{a,K}(x) &= X_{a,K}^{F_{a,K}(x)} = \{y \in W^{1,2}(\mathbf{R}/(T\mathbf{Z}), \mathbf{R}^{2n}) \mid F_{a,K}(y) \le F_{a,K}(x)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, both sets are S^1-invariant. Denote by $\mathrm{crit}(\Psi_{a,K})$ the set of critical points of $\Psi_{a,K}$. Because $\Psi_{a,K}$ is S^1-invariant, $S^1 \cdot u$ becomes a critical orbit if $u \in \mathrm{crit}(\Psi_{a,K})$. Note that by the condition

(F), the number of critical orbits of $\Psi_{a,K}$ is finite. Hence as usual we can make the following definition.

Definition 2.1. *Suppose u is a nonzero critical point of $\Psi_{a,K}$, and $\mathcal{N}$ is an S^1-invariant open neighborhood of $S^1\cdot u$ such that* $\mathrm{crit}(\Psi_{a,K})\cap(\Lambda_{a,K}(u)\cap\mathcal{N}) = S^1\cdot u$. *Then the S^1-critical module of $S^1\cdot u$ is defined by*

$$C_{S^1,\,q}(\Psi_{a,K},\ S^1\cdot u) = H_q((\Lambda_{a,K}(u)\cap\mathcal{N})_{S^1},\ ((\Lambda_{a,K}(u)\setminus S^1\cdot u)\cap\mathcal{N})_{S^1}).$$

Similarly, we define the S^1-critical module $C_{S^1,\,q}(F_{a,K},\ S^1\cdot x)$ of $S^1\cdot x$ for $F_{a,K}$.

We fix a and let $u_K\neq 0$ be a critical point of $\Psi_{a,K}$ with multiplicity $\mathrm{mul}(u_K)=m$, that is, u_K corresponds to a closed characteristic $(\tau,y)\subset\Sigma$ with (τ,y) being m-iteration of some prime closed characteristic. Precisely, we have $u_K=-J\dot{x}+Kx$ with x being a solution of (2.1) and $x=\rho y(\frac{\tau t}{T})$ with $\frac{\varphi_a'(\rho)}{\rho}=\frac{\tau}{aT}$. Moreover, (τ,y) is a closed characteristic on Σ with minimal period $\frac{\sigma}{m}$. For any $p\in\mathbf{N}$ satisfying $p\sigma<aT$, we choose K such that $pK\notin\frac{2\pi}{T}\mathbf{Z}$, then the pth iteration u_{pK}^p of u_K is given by $-J\dot{x}^p+pKx^p$, where x^p is the unique solution of (2.1) corresponding to $(p\tau,y)$ and is a critical point of $F_{a,pK}$, that is, u_{pK}^p is the critical point of $\Psi_{a,pK}$ corresponding to x^p.

Lemma 2.2. (cf. Proposition 4.2 and Remark 4.4 of [LLW1]) *If u_{pK}^p is non-degenerate, i.e., $\nu_{pK}(u_{pK}^p)=1$, let $\beta(x^p)=(-1)^{i_{pK}(u_{pK}^p)-i_K(u_K)}=(-1)^{i^v(x^p)-i^v(x)}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} C_{S^1,q-d(pK)+d(K)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x^p) &= C_{S^1,q}(F_{a,pK},S^1\cdot x^p)=C_{S^1,q}(\Psi_{a,pK},S^1\cdot u_{pK}^p)\\ &= \begin{cases}\mathbf{Q}, & \text{if } \ q=i_{pK}(u_{pK}^p),\ \text{ and }\ \beta(x^p)=1,\\ 0, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases}\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

Theorem 2.3. (cf. Theorem 1.1 of [LLW1] and Theorem 1.2 of [Vit2]) *Suppose that $\Sigma\in\mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ satisfying $^{\#}\mathcal{T}(\Sigma)<+\infty$. Denote by $\{(\tau_j,y_j)\}_{1\le j\le k}$ all the geometrically distinct prime closed characteristics. Then the following identities hold*

$$\sum_{\substack{1\le j\le k\\ \hat{i}(y_j)>0}}\frac{\hat{\chi}(y_j)}{\hat{i}(y_j)}=\frac{1}{2},\qquad \sum_{\substack{1\le j\le k\\ \hat{i}(y_j)<0}}\frac{\hat{\chi}(y_j)}{\hat{i}(y_j)}=0, \tag{2.11}$$

where $\hat{\chi}(y)\in\mathbf{Q}$ is the average Euler characteristic given by Definition 4.8 and Remark 4.9 of [LLW1].

In particular, if all y^m's are non-degenerate for $m\ge 1$, then

$$\hat{\chi}(y)=\begin{cases}(-1)^{i(y)}, & \text{if } \ i(y^2)-i(y)\in 2\mathbf{Z},\\ \frac{(-1)^{i(y)}}{2}, & \text{otherwise.}\end{cases} \tag{2.12}$$

Let $F_{a,K}$ be a functional defined by (2.4) for some $a,K\in\mathbf{R}$ large enough and let $\epsilon>0$ be small enough such that $[-\epsilon,0)$ contains no critical values of $F_{a,K}$. For b large enough, The normalized Morse series of $F_{a,K}$ in $X^{-\epsilon}\setminus X^{-b}$ is defined, as usual, by

$$M_a(t)=\sum_{q\ge 0,\ 1\le j\le p}\dim C_{S^1,\,q}(F_{a,K},\ S^1\cdot v_j)t^{q-d(K)}, \tag{2.13}$$

where we denote by $\{S^1\cdot v_1,\dots,S^1\cdot v_p\}$ the critical orbits of $F_{a,K}$ with critical values less than $-\epsilon$. The Poincaré series of $H_{S^1,*}(X,X^{-\epsilon})$ is $t^{d(K)}Q_a(t)$, according to Theorem 5.1 of [LLW1], if we set $Q_a(t)=\sum_{k\in\mathbf{Z}}q_kt^k$, then

$$q_k=0 \qquad\qquad \forall\ k\in\mathring{I},$$

where I is an interval of $\mathbf{Z}$ such that $I\cap[i(\tau,y),i(\tau,y)+\nu(\tau,y)-1]=\emptyset$ for all closed characteristics $(\tau,\ y)$ on Σ with $\tau\geq aT$. Then by Section 6 of [LLW1], we have

$$M_a(t)-\frac{1}{1-t^2}+Q_a(t)=(1+t)U_a(t),$$

where $U_a(t)=\sum_{i\in\mathbf{Z}}u_it^i$ is a Laurent series with nonnegative coefficients. If there is no closed characteristic with $\hat{i}=0$, then

$$M(t)-\frac{1}{1-t^2}=(1+t)U(t), \tag{2.14}$$

where $M(t)=\sum_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}M_pt^p$ denotes $M_a(t)$ as a tends to infinity. In addition, we also denote by b_p the coefficient of t^p of $\frac{1}{1-t^2}=\sum_{p\in\mathbf{Z}}b_pt^p$, i.e. there holds $b_p=1,\ \forall\ p\in2\mathbf{N}_0$ and $b_p=0,\ \forall\ p\notin2\mathbf{N}_0$.

3 The enhanced common index jump theorem of symplectic paths

In [Lon2] of 1999, Y. Long established the basic normal form decomposition of symplectic matrices. Based on this result he further established the precise iteration formulae of indices of symplectic paths in [Lon3] of 2000.

As in [Lon3], denote by

$$N_1(\lambda,b) = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda & b\\ 0 & \lambda\end{pmatrix},\qquad \text{for } \lambda=\pm1,\ b\in\mathbf{R}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$D(\lambda) = \begin{pmatrix}\lambda & 0\\ 0 & \lambda^{-1}\end{pmatrix},\qquad \text{for } \lambda\in\mathbf{R}\setminus\{0,\pm1\}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$R(\theta) = \begin{pmatrix}\cos\theta & -\sin\theta\\ \sin\theta & \cos\theta\end{pmatrix},\qquad \text{for } \theta\in(0,\pi)\cup(\pi,2\pi), \tag{3.3}$$

$$N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}},B) = \begin{pmatrix}R(\theta) & B\\ 0 & R(\theta)\end{pmatrix},\qquad \text{for } \theta\in(0,\pi)\cup(\pi,2\pi)\ \text{ and}$$

$$B=\begin{pmatrix}b_1 & b_2\\ b_3 & b_4\end{pmatrix}\ \text{with } b_j\in\mathbf{R},\ \text{ and }\ b_2\neq b_3. \tag{3.4}$$

Here $N_2(e^{\theta\sqrt{-1}},B)$ is non-trivial if $(b_2-b_3)\sin\theta<0$, and trivial if $(b_2-b_3)\sin\theta>0$.

As in [Lon3], the $\diamond$-sum (direct sum) of any two real matrices is defined by

$$\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & B_1\\ C_1 & D_1\end{pmatrix}_{2i\times2i}\diamond\begin{pmatrix}A_2 & B_2\\ C_2 & D_2\end{pmatrix}_{2j\times2j}=\begin{pmatrix}A_1 & 0 & B_1 & 0\\ 0 & A_2 & 0 & B_2\\ C_1 & 0 & D_1 & 0\\ 0 & C_2 & 0 & D_2\end{pmatrix}.$$

For every $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, the homotopy set $\Omega(M)$ of M in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is defined by

$$\Omega(M) = \{N \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n) \,|\, \sigma(N) \cap \mathbf{U} = \sigma(M) \cap \mathbf{U} \equiv \Gamma \text{ and } \nu_\omega(N) = \nu_\omega(M) \,\forall \omega \in \Gamma\},$$

where $\sigma(M)$ denotes the spectrum of M, $\nu_\omega(M) \equiv \dim_{\mathbf{C}} \ker_{\mathbf{C}}(M - \omega I)$ for $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$. The component $\Omega^0(M)$ of P in $\mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ is defined by the path connected component of $\Omega(M)$ containing M.

Lemma 3.1. (cf. [Lon3], Lemma 9.1.5 and List 9.1.12 of [Lon4]) *For $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$ and $\omega \in \mathbf{U}$, the splitting number $S_M^{\pm}(\omega)$ (cf. Definition 9.1.4 of [Lon4]) satisfies*

$$S_M^{\pm}(\omega) = 0, \qquad \text{if } \omega \notin \sigma(M). \tag{3.5}$$

$$S^+_{N_1(1,a)}(1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a \geq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } a < 0. \end{cases} \tag{3.6}$$

For any $M_i \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n_i)$ with $i = 0$ and 1, there holds

$$S^{\pm}_{M_0 \diamond M_1}(\omega) = S^{\pm}_{M_0}(\omega) + S^{\pm}_{M_1}(\omega), \qquad \forall\, \omega \in \mathbf{U}. \tag{3.7}$$

We have the following decomposition theorem

Theorem 3.2. (cf. [Lon3] and Theorem 1.8.10 of [Lon4]) *For any $M \in \mathrm{Sp}(2n)$, there is a path $f : [0,1] \to \Omega^0(M)$ such that $f(0) = M$ and*

$$f(1) = M_1 \diamond \cdots \diamond M_k, \tag{3.8}$$

where each M_i is a basic normal form listed in (3.1)-(3.4) for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

For every $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n) \equiv \{\gamma \in C([0,\tau], Sp(2n)) \mid \gamma(0) = I_{2n}\}$, we extend $\gamma(t)$ to $t \in [0, m\tau]$ for every $m \in \mathbf{N}$ by

$$\gamma^m(t) = \gamma(t - j\tau)\gamma(\tau)^j \qquad \forall\, j\tau \leq t \leq (j+1)\tau \text{ and } j = 0, 1, \ldots, m-1, \tag{3.9}$$

as in P.114 of [Lon2]. As in [LoZ] and [Lon4], we denote the Maslov-type indices of γ^m by $(i(\gamma, m), \nu(\gamma, m))$.

Then the following iteration formula from [LoZ] and [Lon4] can be obtained.

Theorem 3.3. (cf. Theorem 9.3.1 of [Lon4]) *For any path $\gamma \in \mathcal{P}_\tau(2n)$, let $M = \gamma(\tau)$ and $C(M) = \sum_{0<\theta<2\pi} S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta})$. We extend γ to $[0, +\infty)$ by its iterates. Then for any $m \in \mathbf{N}$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} i(\gamma, m) &= m(i(\gamma, 1) + S_M^+(1) - C(M)) \\ &\quad + 2 \sum_{\theta \in (0, 2\pi)} E\left(\frac{m\theta}{2\pi}\right) S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}) - (S_M^+(1) + C(M)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$\hat{i}(\gamma, 1) = i(\gamma, 1) + S_M^+(1) - C(M) + \sum_{\theta \in (0, 2\pi)} \frac{\theta}{\pi} S_M^-(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}). \tag{3.11}$$

The common index jump theorem (cf. Theorem 4.3 of [LoZ]) for symplectic paths established by Long and Zhu in 2002 has become one of the main tools to study the multiplicity and stability problems of closed solution orbits in Hamiltonian and symplectic dynamics. Recently, the following enhanced version of it has been obtained by Duan, Long and Wang in [DLW], which will play an important role in the proofs in Section 4.

Theorem 3.4. (cf. Theorem 3.5 of [DLW]) (**The enhanced common index jump theorem for symplectic paths**) *Let $\gamma_k \in \mathcal{P}_{\tau_k}(2n)$ for $k = 1, \cdots, q$ be a finite collection of symplectic paths. Let $M_k = \gamma(\tau_k)$. We extend γ_k to $[0, +\infty)$ by (3.9) inductively. Suppose*

$$\hat{i}(\gamma_k, 1) > 0, \qquad \forall\ k = 1, \cdots, q. \tag{3.12}$$

Then for every integer $\bar{m} \in \mathbf{N}$, there exist infinitely many $(q+1)$-tuples $(N, m_1, \cdots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that for all $1 \le k \le q$ and $1 \le m \le \bar{m}$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k - m) &= \nu(\gamma_k, 2m_k + m) = \nu(\gamma_k, m), && (3.13)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k + m) &= 2N + i(\gamma_k, m), && (3.14)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k - m) &= 2N - i(\gamma_k, m) - 2(S^+_{M_k}(1) + Q_k(m)), && (3.15)\\
i(\gamma_k, 2m_k) &= 2N - (S^+_{M_k}(1) + C(M_k) - 2\Delta_k), && (3.16)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Delta_k = \sum_{0<\{m_k\theta/\pi\}<\delta} S^-_{M_k}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}), \qquad Q_k(m) = \sum_{\substack{e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in \sigma(M_k),\\ \{\frac{m_k\theta}{\pi}\} = \{\frac{m\theta}{2\pi}\} = 0}} S^-_{M_k}(e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}). \tag{3.17}$$

More precisely, by (4.10), (4.40) and (4.41) in [LoZ] , we have

$$m_k = \left(\left[\frac{N}{M\hat{i}(\gamma_k, 1)} \right] + \chi_k \right) M, \quad 1 \le k \le q, \tag{3.18}$$

where $\chi_k = 0$ or 1 for $1 \le k \le q$ and $\frac{M\theta}{\pi} \in \mathbf{Z}$ whenever $e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} \in \sigma(M_k)$ and $\frac{\theta}{\pi} \in \mathbf{Q}$ for some $1 \le k \le q$. Furthermore, by (4.20) in Theorem 4.1 of [LoZ], for any $\epsilon > 0$, we can choose N and $\{\chi_k\}_{1 \le k \le q}$ such that

$$\left| \left\{ \frac{N}{M\hat{i}(\gamma_k, 1)} \right\} - \chi_k \right| < \epsilon, \quad 1 \le k \le q. \tag{3.19}$$

Theorem 3.5. (cf. Theorem 2.1 of [HuL] and Theorem 6.1 of [LLo2]) *Suppose $\Sigma \in \mathcal{H}_{st}(2n)$ and $(\tau, y) \in \mathcal{T}(\Sigma)$. Then we have*

$$i(y^m) \equiv i(m\tau, y) = i(y, m) - n, \quad \nu(y^m) \equiv \nu(m\tau, y) = \nu(y, m), \qquad \forall m \in \mathbf{N}, \tag{3.20}$$

where $i(y^m)$ and $\nu(y^m)$ are the index and nullity of $(m\tau, y)$ defined in Section 2, $i(y,m)$ and $\nu(y,m)$ are the Maslov-type index and nullity of $(m\tau,y)$ (cf. Section 5.4 of [Lon3]). In particular, we have $\hat{i}(\tau,y) = \hat{i}(y,1)$, where $\hat{i}(\tau,y)$ is given in Section 2, $\hat{i}(y,1)$ is the mean Maslov-type index (cf. Definition 8.1 of [Lon4]). Hence we denote it simply by $\hat{i}(y)$.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

In order to prove Theorem 1.2, we make the following assumptions

(FCHe) *Suppose that on a non-degenerate compact star-shaped hypersurface Σ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with n* **even**, *there exist only finitely many prime closed characteristics $\{(\tau_k, y_k)\}_{k=1}^q$ with $\hat{i}(y_k,1) > 0$ and $i(y_k,m) \neq -1$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$.*

(FCHo) *Suppose that on a non-degenerate compact star-shaped hypersurface Σ in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$ with n* **odd**, *there exist only finitely many prime closed characteristics $\{(\tau_k, y_k)\}_{k=1}^q$ with $\hat{i}(y_k,1) > 0$ and $i(y_k,m) \notin \{-2,-1,0\}$ for all $m \in \mathbf{N}$.*

Since Σ is index perfect, then the assumptions (FCHe) and (FCHo) hold by Definition 1.1. We denote by $\gamma_k \equiv \gamma_{y_k}$ the associated symplectic path of (τ_k, y_k) for $1 \le k \le q$. Then by Lemma 3.3 of [HuL] and Lemma 3.2 of [Lon1], there exists $P_k \in Sp(2n)$ and $U_k \in Sp(2n-2)$ such that

$$M_k \equiv \gamma_k(\tau_k) = P_k^{-1}(N_1(1,1)\diamond U_k)P_k, \qquad 1 \le k \le q, \tag{4.1}$$

where every U_k has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} &R(\theta_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond R(\theta_r)\diamond D(\pm 2)^{\diamond s} \\ &\diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_1\sqrt{-1}}, A_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond N_2(e^{\alpha_{r_*}\sqrt{-1}}, A_{r_*})\diamond N_2(e^{\beta_1\sqrt{-1}}, B_1)\diamond\cdots\diamond N_2(e^{\beta_{r_0}\sqrt{-1}}, B_{r_0}), \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\theta_j}{2\pi} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ for $1 \le j \le r$; $\frac{\alpha_j}{2\pi} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ for $1 \le j \le r_*$; $\frac{\beta_j}{2\pi} \notin \mathbf{Q}$ for $1 \le j \le r_0$ and

$$r + s + 2r_* + 2r_0 = n - 1. \tag{4.2}$$

Proof of Theorem 1.2.

We prove Theorem 1.2 in two cases:

Case 1. *n is even.*

By the assumption (FCHe), we have $\hat{i}(y_k) = \hat{i}(y_k,1) > 0, 1 \le k \le q$, which implies that $i(y_k,m) \to +\infty$ as $m \to +\infty$. So the positive integer $\bar{m}$ defined by

$$\bar{m} = \max_{1\le k\le q}\{\min\{m_0 \in \mathbf{N} \mid i(y_k, m+l) \ge i(y_k,l) + n + 1, \quad \forall\, l \ge 1, m \ge m_0\}\} \tag{4.3}$$

is well-defined and finite.

For the integer $\bar{m}$ defined in (4.3), it follows from Theorem 3.4 that there exist infinitely many $q+1$-tuples $(N, m_1, \cdots, m_q) \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that for any $1 \le k \le q$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{m}+2 &\le \min\{2m_k,\ 1 \le k \le q\}, && (4.4)\\
i(y_k, 2m_k - m) &= 2N - 2 - i(y_k, m), \quad 1 \le m \le \bar{m}, && (4.5)\\
i(y_k, 2m_k) &= 2N - 1 - C(M_k) + 2\Delta_k, && (4.6)\\
i(y_k, 2m_k + m) &= 2N + i(y_k, m), \quad 1 \le m \le \bar{m}, && (4.7)
\end{aligned}$$

where, note that $S^+_{M_k}(1) = 1, Q_k(m) = 0, \forall\ m \ge 1$ by (4.1)-(4.2).

By the definition (4.3) of $\bar{m}$ and (4.6), for $m \ge \bar{m}+1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i(y_k, 2m_k - m) &\le i(y_k, 2m_k) - n - 1 = 2N - n - 2 + 2\Delta_k - C(M_k) \le 2N - 3, && (4.8)\\
i(y_k, 2m_k + m) &\ge i(y_k, 2m_k) + n + 1 = 2N + n - C(M_k) + 2\Delta_k \ge 2N + 1, && (4.9)
\end{aligned}$$

where we use the facts $2\Delta_k - C(M_k) \le n-1$ and $C(M_k) \le n-1$.

Then by (4.5)-(4.9) and Theorem 3.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
i(y_k^{2m_k - m}) &\le 2N - n - 3, \qquad \forall\ \bar{m}+1 \le m \le 2m_k - 1, && (4.10)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k - m}) &= 2N - 2n - 2 - i(y_k^m), \qquad \forall\ 1 \le m \le \bar{m}, && (4.11)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k}) &= 2N - C(M_k) + 2\Delta_k - n - 1, && (4.12)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k + m}) &= 2N + i(y_k^m), \qquad \forall\ 1 \le m \le \bar{m}, && (4.13)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k + m}) &\ge 2N - n + 1, \qquad \forall\ m \ge \bar{m}+1. && (4.14)
\end{aligned}$$

Claim 1: *For $N \in \mathbf{N}$ in Theorem 3.4 satisfying (4.10)-(4.14), we have*

$$\sum_{1 \le k \le q} 2m_k \hat{\chi}(y_k) = N. \tag{4.15}$$

In fact, let $\epsilon < \frac{1}{1 + 2M \sum_{1 \le k \le q} |\hat{\chi}(y_k)|}$, by Theorem 2.3 and (3.18)-(3.19), it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| N - \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k \hat{\chi}(y_k) \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=1}^{q} \frac{2N\hat{\chi}(y_k)}{\hat{i}(y_k)} - \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2\hat{\chi}(y_k) \left(\left[\frac{N}{M\hat{i}(y_k)} \right] + \chi_k \right) M \right| \\
&\le 2M \sum_{k=1}^{q} |\hat{\chi}(y_k)| \left| \left\{ \frac{N}{M\hat{i}(y_k)} \right\} - \chi_k \right|. \\
&< 2M\epsilon \sum_{k=1}^{q} |\hat{\chi}(y_k)| \\
&< 1, && (4.16)
\end{aligned}$$

which proves Claim 1 since each $2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k)$ is an integer.

Now by Lemma 2.2, it yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=1}^{2m_k}(-1)^{d(K)+i(y_k^m)}\dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x_k^m)\\
&=\sum_{m=1}^{2m_k}(-1)^{i(y_k^m)}\dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x_k^m)\\
&=\sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1}\sum_{m=2i+1}^{2i+2}(-1)^{i(y_k^m)}\dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x_k^m)\\
&=\sum_{i=0}^{m_k-1}\sum_{m=1}^{2}(-1)^{i(y_k^m)}\dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x_k^m)\\
&=m_k\sum_{m=1}^{2}(-1)^{i(y_k^m)}\dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K},S^1\cdot x_k^m)\\
&=2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k),\qquad \forall\ 1\le k\le q,
\end{aligned}\tag{4.17}$$

where x_k is the critical point of $F_{a,K}$ corresponding to y_k, $d(K)=2n([KT/2\pi]+1)$.

Note that the set $\{i(y_k^m):m\in\mathbf{N},1\le k\le q\}$ is bounded below since the mean index $\hat{i}(y_k)>0$ for any $1\le k\le q$. So the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^pM_p$ is a finite sum by Lemma 2.2, where the Morse-type number M_p is defined in (2.14).

For $1\le k\le q$, by (4.14) and Lemma 2.2, we know that all $y_k^{2m_k+m}$'s with $m\ge\bar{m}+1$ have no contribution to the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^pM_p$. Similarly again by Lemma 2.2 and (4.10), all $y_k^{2m_k-m}$'s with $\bar{m}+1\le m\le 2m_k-1$ only have contribution to $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^pM_p$.

For $1\le k\le q$, by (4.13) and Lemma 2.2, we know that all $y_k^{2m_k+m}$'s with $-n\le i(y_k^m)$ and $1\le m\le\bar{m}$ have no contribution to the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^pM_p$. Similarly again by Lemma 2.2 and (4.11), all $y_k^{2m_k-m}$'s with $-n\le i(y_k^m)$ and $1\le m\le\bar{m}$ only have contribution to $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^pM_p$.

Since $i(y_k^m)\ne -n-1$ for any $m\ge 1$ by the assumption (FCHe) and Theorem 3.5, for $1\le k\le q$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
M_+^e(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1\le m\le\bar{m}\mid i(y_k^m)\le -n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k+m})-i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}\},\\
M_+^o(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1\le m\le\bar{m}\mid i(y_k^m)\le -n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k+m})-i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\},\\
M_-^e(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1\le m\le\bar{m}\mid i(y_k^m)\le -n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k-m})-i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}\},\\
M_-^o(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1\le m\le\bar{m}\mid i(y_k^m)\le -n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k-m})-i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\},
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with $i(y_k^{2m_k+m})-i(y_k^{2m_k-m})\in 2\mathbf{Z}$ by (4.11) and (4.13), yields

$$M_+^e(k)=M_-^e(k),\quad M_+^o(k)=M_-^o(k),\qquad 1\le k\le q.\tag{4.18}$$

For $1\le k\le q$ and $1\le m\le\bar{m}$ satisfying $i(y_k^m)\le -n-2$, by (4.11) and (4.13) it yields

$$i(y_k^{2m_k-m})\ge 2N-n,\qquad i(y_k^{2m_k+m})\le 2N-n-2.\tag{4.19}$$

So, for $1 \le k \le q$, by (4.19) and Lemma 2.2, we know that all $y_k^{2m_k+m}$'s with $i(y_k^m) \le -n-2$ and $1 \le m \le \bar{m}$ only have contribution to the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p$, and all $y_k^{2m_k-m}$'s with $i(y_k^m) \le -n-2$ and $1 \le m \le \bar{m}$ have no contribution to $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p$.

Thus for the Morse-type numbers M_p's in (2.14), by (4.17)-(4.19) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} \sum_{\substack{1\le m\le 2m_k+\bar{m} \\ i(y_k^m)\le 2N-n-1}} (-1)^{d(K)+i(y_k^m)} \dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K}, S^1\cdot x_k^m) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q}\sum_{m=1}^{2m_k}(-1)^{d(K)+i(y_k^m)} \dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^m)}(F_{a,K}, S^1\cdot x_k^m) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[M_+^e(k) - M_+^o(k)\right] - \sum_{k=1}^{q}\left[M_-^e(k) - M_-^o(k)\right] \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le q \\ i(y_k^{2m_k})\ge 2N-n}} (-1)^{i(y_k^{2m_k})} \dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^{2m_k})}(F_{a,K}, S^1\cdot x_k^{2m_k}) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le q \\ i(y_k^{2m_k})\ge 2N-n}} (-1)^{i(y_k^{2m_k})} \dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^{2m_k})}(F_{a,K}, S^1\cdot x_k^{2m_k}). \quad (4.20)
\end{aligned}$$

In order to exactly know whether the iterate $y_k^{2m_k}$ of y_k has contribution to the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p(k)$, $1 \le k \le q$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
N_+^e &= \#\{1\le k\le q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k}) \ge 2N-n,\ i(y_k^{2m_k}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}\}, && (4.21)\\
N_+^o &= \#\{1\le k\le q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k}) \ge 2N-n,\ i(y_k^{2m_k}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\}, && (4.22)\\
N_-^e &= \#\{1\le k\le q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k}) \le 2N-n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}\}, && (4.23)\\
N_-^o &= \#\{1\le k\le q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k}) \le 2N-n-2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k)\in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\}. && (4.24)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p$ is a finite sum by the positive mean index assumption. Thus by Claim 1, (4.20), the definitions of N_+^e and N_+^o and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N + N_+^o - N_+^e &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k) + N_+^o - N_+^e \\
&= \sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p \\
&\le \sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p b_p = \sum_{p=0}^{2N-n-2} b_p \\
&= N - \frac{n}{2}, \quad (4.25)
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$N_+^e \geq \frac{n}{2}. \tag{4.26}$$

Similar to (4.10)-(4.14), it follows from Theorem 3.4 that there exist also infinitely many $(q+1)$-tuples $(N', m_1', \cdots, m_q') \in \mathbf{N}^{q+1}$ such that for any $1 \leq k \leq q$, there holds

$$\begin{aligned}
i(y_k^{2m_k'-m}) &\leq 2N' - n - 3, \qquad \forall\ \bar{m}+1 \leq m \leq 2m_k' - 1, && (4.27)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k'-m}) &= 2N' - 2n - 2 - i(y_k^m), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq m \leq \bar{m}, && (4.28)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k'}) &= 2N' - C(M_k) + 2\Delta_k' - n - 1, && (4.29)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k'+m}) &= 2N' + i(y_k^m), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq m \leq \bar{m}, && (4.30)\\
i(y_k^{2m_k'+m}) &\geq 2N' - n + 1, \qquad \forall\ m \geq \bar{m}+1. && (4.31)
\end{aligned}$$

where, furthermore, Δ_k and Δ_k' satisfy the following relationship

$$\Delta_k' + \Delta_k = C(M_k), \qquad \forall\ 1 \leq k \leq q, \tag{4.32}$$

which exactly follows from (especially the term (c) of) Theorem 4.2 of [LoZ].

Similarly, we define

$$\begin{aligned}
N_+^{'e} &= \#\{1 \leq k \leq q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k'}) \geq 2N' - n,\ i(y_k^{2m_k'}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}_0,\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}\}, && (4.33)\\
N_+^{'o} &= \#\{1 \leq k \leq q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k'}) \geq 2N' - n,\ i(y_k^{2m_k'}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}_0,\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\}, && (4.34)\\
N_-^{'e} &= \#\{1 \leq k \leq q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k'}) \leq 2N' - n - 2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k'}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}_0,\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}\}, && (4.35)\\
N_-^{'o} &= \#\{1 \leq k \leq q \mid i(y_k^{2m_k'}) \leq 2N' - n - 2,\ i(y_k^{2m_k'}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}_0,\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\}. && (4.36)
\end{aligned}$$

So by (4.29) and (4.32) it yields

$$i(y_k^{2m_k'}) = 2N' - C(M_k) + 2(C(M_k) - \Delta_k) - n - 1 = 2N' + C(M_k) - 2\Delta_k - n - 1. \tag{4.37}$$

So by definitions (4.21)-(4.24) and (4.33)-(4.36) we have

$$N_\pm^e = N_\mp^{'e}, \qquad N_\pm^o = N_\mp^{'o}. \tag{4.38}$$

Thus, through carrying out the similar arguments to (4.25)-(4.26), by Claim 1, the definitions of $N_+^{'e}$ and $N_+^{'o}$ and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
N' + N_+^{'o} - N_+^{'e} &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k) + N_+^{'o} - N_+^{'e}\\
&= \sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N'-n-1} (-1)^p M_p\\
&\leq \sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N'-n-1} (-1)^p b_p = \sum_{p=0}^{2N'-n-2} b_p\\
&= N' - \frac{n}{2} && (4.39)
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (4.38), implies

$$N_-^e = N_+^{'e} \geq \frac{n}{2}. \tag{4.40}$$

So by (4.26) and (4.40) it yields

$$q \geq N_+^e + N_-^e \geq n. \tag{4.41}$$

In addition, any hyperbolic closed characteristic y_k must have $i(y_k^{2m_k}) = 2N - n - 1$ since there holds $C(M_k) = 0$ in the hyperbolic case. However, by (4.21) and (4.23), there exist at least $(N_+^o + N_-^o)$ closed characteristic with even indices $i(y_k^{2m_k})$. So all these $(N_+^o + N_-^o)$ closed characteristics are non-hyperbolic. Then (4.41) shows that there exist at least n distinct non-hyperbolic closed characteristics. And (4.21), (4.23) and (4.41) show that all these non-hyperbolic closed characteristics and their iterations have even Maslov-type indices. This completes the proof of Case 1.

Case 2. *n is odd.*

In this case, the assumption (FCHo) holds. Here the arguments are similar to those in the proof of Case 1. So we only give some different parts in the proof and omit some details.

Claim 2: *There exist at least $(n-1)$ geometrically distinct non-hyperbolic closed characteristics denoted by $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ with odd Maslov-type indices on such hypersurface Σ.*

Here one crucial and different point from the proof of Case 1 is that we need to consider the alternative sum $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n}(-1)^p M_p$ (cf. (4.44)) instead of the one $\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n-1}(-1)^p M_p$ (cf. (4.20)). This difference is mainly due to the different parity of n. Since the method is similar to that in proof of Case 1, we only list some necessary parts.

At first, there holds $i(y_k^m) \notin \{-n-2, -n-1, -n\}$ for any $m \geq 1$ by the assumption (FCHo) and Theorem 3.5, for $1 \leq k \leq q$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{M}_+^e(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1 \leq m \leq \bar{m} \mid i(y_k^m) \leq -n-3,\ i(y_k^{2m_k+m}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}\},\\
\bar{M}_+^o(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1 \leq m \leq \bar{m} \mid i(y_k^m) \leq -n-3,\ i(y_k^{2m_k+m}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\},\\
\bar{M}_-^e(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1 \leq m \leq \bar{m} \mid i(y_k^m) \leq -n-3,\ i(y_k^{2m_k-m}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}\},\\
\bar{M}_-^o(k) &= {}^{\#}\{1 \leq m \leq \bar{m} \mid i(y_k^m) \leq -n-3,\ i(y_k^{2m_k-m}) - i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z},\ i(y_k) \in 2\mathbf{Z}-1\},
\end{aligned}$$

which, together with $i(y_k^{2m_k+m}) - i(y_k^{2m_k-m}) \in 2\mathbf{Z}$ by (4.11) and (4.13), yields

$$\bar{M}_+^e(k) = \bar{M}_-^e(k), \quad \bar{M}_+^o(k) = \bar{M}_-^o(k), \qquad 1 \leq k \leq q. \tag{4.42}$$

For $1 \leq k \leq q$ and $1 \leq m \leq \bar{m}$ satisfying $i(y_k^m) \leq -n-3$, by (4.11) and (4.13) it yields

$$i(y_k^{2m_k-m}) \geq 2N - n + 1, \qquad i(y_k^{2m_k+m}) \leq 2N - n - 3. \tag{4.43}$$

Then, similarly to the equation (4.20), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n}(-1)^p M_p &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\substack{1\le k\le q \\ i(y_k^{2m_k})\ge 2N-n+1}} (-1)^{i(y_k^{2m_k})} \dim C_{S^1,d(K)+i(y_k^{2m_k})}(F_{a,K}, S^1\cdot x_k^{2m_k}). \quad (4.44)\end{aligned}$$

Denote by $H^e_+, H^o_+, H^e_-, H^o_-$ the numbers similarly defined by (4.21)-(4.24) where $2N-n$ and $2N-n-2$ are replaced by $2N-n+1$ and $2N-n-3$, respectively.

Then by Claim 1, (4.44), the definitions of H^e_+ and H^o_+, and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}N + H^o_+ - H^e_+ &= \sum_{k=1}^{q} 2m_k\hat{\chi}(y_k) + H^o_+ - H^e_+ \\ &= \sum_{p=-\infty}^{2N-n}(-1)^p M_p \\ &= -(M_{2N-n} - M_{2N-n-1} + \cdots - M_1 + M_0 - M_{-1} + \cdots) \\ &\le -(b_{2N-n} - b_{2N-n-1} + \cdots - b_1 + b_0) \\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{2N-n-1} b_p = \frac{2N-n-1}{2} + 1 \\ &= N - \frac{n-1}{2}, \quad (4.45)\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$H^e_+ \ge H^e_+ - H^o_+ \ge \frac{n-1}{2}. \quad (4.46)$$

Similarly, denote by $H^{'e}_+, H^{'o}_+, H^{'e}_-, H^{'o}_-$ the numbers similarly defined by (4.33)-(4.36) where $2N'-n$ and $2N'-n-2$ are replaced by $2N'-n+1$ and $2N'-n-3$, respectively, and these numbers satisfy the following relationship

$$H^e_\pm = H^{'e}_\mp, \qquad H^o_\pm = H^{'o}_\mp. \quad (4.47)$$

Similarly to the inequality (4.39), by the same arguments above and (4.47) we can obtain

$$H^e_- = H^{'e}_+ \ge H^{'e}_+ - H^{'o}_+ \ge \frac{n-1}{2}. \quad (4.48)$$

Therefore it follows from (4.46) and (4.48) that

$$q \ge H^e_+ + H^e_- \ge \frac{n-1}{2} + \frac{n-1}{2} = n-1. \quad (4.49)$$

By the same arguments in the proof of Case 1, it follows from the definitions of H^e_+ and H^e_- that these $(n-1)$ distinct closed geodesics are non-hyperbolic, and the Viterbo indices of them and

their iterations are even, and thus the Maslov-type indices of them and their iterations are odd. This completes the proof of Claim 2.

Claim 3: *There exist at least another geometrically distinct closed characteristic different from those found in Claim 2 with odd Maslov-type indices on such hypersurface* Σ.

In fact, for those $(n-1)$ distinct closed characteristics $\{y_k\}_{k=1}^{n-1}$ found in Claim 2, there holds $i(y_k^{2m_k}) \neq 2N-n-1$ by the definitions of H_+^e and H_-^e, which, together with (4.10)-(4.14) and the assumption (FCHo), yields

$$i(y_k^m) \neq 2N-n-1, \qquad m \geq 1, \quad k = 1, \cdots, n-1. \tag{4.50}$$

Then by Lemma 2.2 it yields

$$\sum_{\substack{1\leq k\leq n-1\\ m\geq 1}} \dim C_{S^1, d(K)+2N-n-1}(F_{a,K}, S^1 \cdot x_k^m) = 0. \tag{4.51}$$

By (4.10)-(4.11), (4.13)-(4.14) and the assumption (FCHo), it yields $i(y_k^m) \neq 2N-n-1$ for any $m \neq 2m_k$ and $1 \leq k \leq q$. Therefore, by (4.51) and (2.14) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n\leq k\leq q} \dim C_{S^1, d(K)+2N-n-1}(F_{a,K}, S^1 \cdot x_k^{2m_k}) &= \sum_{n\leq k\leq q,\ m\geq 1} \dim C_{S^1, d(K)+2N-n-1}(F_{a,K}, S^1 \cdot x_k^m) \\ &= \sum_{1\leq k\leq q,\ m\geq 1} \dim C_{S^1, d(K)+2N-n-1}(F_{a,K}, S^1 \cdot x_k^m) \\ &= M_{2N-n-1} \geq b_{2N-n-1} = 1. \end{aligned} \tag{4.52}$$

Now by (4.52) and Lemma 2.2, it yields that there exist at least another closed characteristic y_n with $i(y_n^{2m_n}) = 2N-n-1$ and $i(y_n^{2m_n}) - i(y_n) \in 2\mathbf{Z}$. Thus y_n and its iterations have odd Maslov-type indices. This completes the proof of Claim 3.

Now for Case 2, Theorem 1.2 follows from Claim 2 and Claim 3. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is complete. ∎

References

[AbM] M. Abreu and L. Macarini, Multiplicity of periodic orbits for dynamically convex contact forms. arXiv:1509.08441.

[BLMR] H. Berestycki, J. M. Lasry, G. Mancini and B. Ruf, Existence of multiple periodic orbits on starshaped Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 38 (1985), 253-289.

[CGH] D. Cristofaro-Gardiner and M. Hutchings, From one Reeb orbit to two. arXiv:1202.4839v2. *J. Diff. Geom.* to appear.

[DDE] G. Dell'Antonio, B. D'Onofrio and I. Ekeland, Les systém hamiltoniens convexes et pairs ne sont pas ergodiques en general. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris.* Series I. 315 (1992), 1413-1415.

[DLW] H. Duan, Y. Long and W. Wang, The enhanced common index jump theorem for symplectic paths and non-hyperbolic closed geodesics on Finsler manifolds. arXiv:1510.02872. Submitted.

[Eke] I. Ekeland, An index throry for periodic solutions of convex Hamiltonian systems. *Proc. Symp. in Pure Math.* 45 (1986) 395-423.

[EkH] I. Ekeland and H. Hofer, Convex Hamiltonian energy surfaces and their closed trajectories. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 113 (1987) 419-467.

[EkL] I. Ekeland and L. Lassoued, Multiplicité des trajectoires fermées d'un systéme hamiltonien sur une hypersurface d'energie convexe. *Ann. IHP. Anal. non Linéaire.* 4 (1987) 1-29.

[GiG] V. Ginzburg and Y. Goren, Iterated index and the mean Euler characteristic. *Journal of Topology and Analysis.* 7 (2015), 453-481.

[GHHM] V. Ginzburg, D. Hein, U. Hryniewicz and L. Macarini, Closed Reeb orbits on the sphere and symplectically degenerate maxima. *Acta Math Vietnam.* 38 (2013), 55-78.

[Gir] M. Girardi, Multiple orbits for Hamiltonian systems on starshaped ernergy surfaces with symmetry, *Ann. IHP. Analyse non linéaire.* 1 (1984), 285-294.

[GuK] J. Gutt and J. Kang, On the minimal number of periodic orbits on some hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. arXiv:1508.00166v1.

[HuL] X. Hu and Y. Long, Closed characteristics on non-degenerate star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *Sci. China Ser. A* 45 (2002), 1038-1052.

[HuO] X. Hu and Y. Ou, Stability of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. arXiv: 1405.4057.

[HWZ1] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, The dynamics on three-dimensional strictly convex energy surfaces. *Ann. Math.* 148 (1998), 197-289.

[HWZ2] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki and E. Zehnder, Finite energy foliations of tight three-spheres and Hamiltonian dynamics. *Ann. Math.* 157 (2003) 125-255.

[LiL1] C. Liu and Y. Long, An optimal inceasing estimate for iterated Maslov-type indices. *Chinese Sci. Bull.* 42 (1997), 2275-2277.

[LiL2] C. Liu and Y. Long, Hyperbolic characteristics on star-shaped hypersurfaces, *Ann. IHP. Analyse non linéaire.* 16 (1999), 725-746.

[LiL3] C. Liu and Y. Long, Iteration inequalities of the Maslov-type index theory with applications. *J. Diff. Equa.* 165 (2000) 355-376.

[LLo1] H. Liu and Y. Long, The existence of two closed characteristics on every compact star-shaped hypersurface in $\mathbf{R}^4$. *Acta Math. Sinica* (2014), DOI: 10.1007/s10114-014-4108-1.

[LLo2] H. Liu and Y. Long, Resonance identities and stability of symmetric closed characteristics on symmetric compact star-shaped hypersurfaces. arXiv:1405.5739. *Calc. Var. and PDEs.* to appear.

[LLW1] H. Liu, Y. Long and W. Wang, Resonance indentities for closed charactersitics on compact star-shaped hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *J. Funct. Anal.* 266 (2014), 5598-5638.

[LLW2] H. Liu, Y. Long and W. Wang, Non-hyperbolic closed characteristics on symmetric compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *Advanced Nonlinear Studies.* 14 (2014), 531-546.

[Lon1] Y. Long, Hyperbolic closed characteristics on compact convex smooth hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *J. Diff. Equa.* 150 (1998), 227-249.

[Lon2] Y. Long, Bott formula of the Maslov-type index theory. *Pacific J. Math.* 187 (1999), 113-149.

[Lon3] Y. Long, Precise iteration formulae of the Maslov-type index theory and ellipticity of closed characteristics. *Advances in Math.* 154 (2000), 76-131.

[Lon4] Y. Long, Index Theory for Symplectic Paths with Applications. Progress in Math. 207, Birkhäuser. 2002.

[LoZ] Y. Long and C. Zhu, Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. *Ann. Math.* 155 (2002), 317-368.

[Rab] P. Rabinowitz, Periodic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure. Appl. Math.* 31 (1978), 157-184.

[Szu] A. Szulkin, Morse theory and existence of periodic solutions of convex Hamiltonian systems, *Bull. Soc. Math. France.* 116 (1988), 171-197.

[Vit1] C. Viterbo, Une théorie de Morse pour les systèmes hamiltoniens étoilés, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math.* 301 (1985), 487-489.

[Vit2] C. Viterbo, Equivariant Morse theory for starshaped Hamiltonian systems. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 311 (1989) 621-655.

[Wan1] W. Wang, Stability of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^6$. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.* 11 (2009), 575-596.

[Wan2] W. Wang, Existence of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^{2n}$. arXiv:1112.5501v3.

[Wan3] W. Wang, Closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces in $\mathbf{R}^8$. arXiv:1305.4680v2.

[WHL] W. Wang, X. Hu and Y. Long, Resonance identity, stability and multiplicity of closed characteristics on compact convex hypersurfaces. *Duke Math. J.* 139 (2007), no. 3, 411-462.

[Wei] A. Weinstein, Periodic orbits for convex Hamiltonian systems, *Ann. Math.* 108 (1978), 507-518.